

General Application Requirements (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700603

Agency Information

(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)

1. Agency Information

- a. Agency Name Elsinore-Murrieta-Anza Resource Conservation District
- b. Organizational Unit
- c. Address 21535 Palomar Road
Suite "A"
- e. City Wildomar State CA Zip 92595-7763
- f. Federal Id Number 33-0017618 DUNS Number
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and July-01 day)
- h. Agency Type (Please check one)
- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> City | <input type="radio"/> County | <input type="radio"/> U.S. Forest Service |
| <input type="radio"/> U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District | <input type="radio"/> U.S. Bureau of Land Management | <input type="radio"/> Other Federal Agency |
| <input type="radio"/> Federally Recognized Native American Tribe | <input type="radio"/> Educational Institution | <input type="radio"/> Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only |
| <input type="radio"/> State Agency | <input checked="" type="radio"/> District | |

2. Project Information

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested Project Cost

Project Request(s) Summary

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G09-07-01-R01	Restoration	28,000	13,000	41,000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # _____

APP # 700603

3. Contact

a. Authorized Representative

Name	Vicki G. Long				
Title	President & Chief Executive Officer				
Mailing Address	21535 Palomar Road Suite A				
City	Wildomar	State	CA	Zip	92595-7763
Telephone	(951) 609-0066			Fax	(951) 609-0066
E-mail Address	emarcd@verizon.net				

b. Project Administrator

Name	John Rogers				
Title	Executive Director				
Mailing Address	21535 Palomar Road Suite A				
City	Wildomar	State	CA	Zip	92595
Telephone	(951) 609-0066			Fax	(951) 609-0066
E-mail Address	emarcd@verizon.net				

Location Map

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700603

A. Location Map

Attachments:

[Location Map](#)

Equipment Inventory

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700603

A. Equipment Inventory

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) ☐ Yes ☒ No
years? (Please select Yes or No)

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number

Habitat Management Program (HMP)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700603

PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked 'Yes', you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING

PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes

Changes from Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs

PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species

Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP

Species	Listing Status	Habitat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?

PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3

Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats

Species/Habitat	Known Information	Methodology	Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties	Management Objective(s)	Management Action(s)	Success Criteria

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

Species/Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6

Table 6: Previous Year's Monitoring Results

Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Management Actions	Species/ Habitat	Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy	Changes Needed to HMP

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8

Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern

--	--

Soil Conservation

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700603

A. Soil Conservation

- a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Soil Conservation Plan

Public Review Process

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700603

A. Public Notification Efforts

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

- ☐ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format)
- ☒ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/02/2010]
- ☒ Published in Newspaper
- ☒ News Release Issued
- ☐ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

B. Public Comments

One negative public comment was received; Ed Stovin of CORVA commented that the grant does not "work to improve off-road recreation", or to "look for areas to legally open for off-road use," "They are looking for \$27K to study possible future restoration sites, yet have no interest in finding legitimate areas to recreate. I don't see how this grant will help motorized recreation".

Comments were received from Mr. Bruce Brazil, which were answered directly by e-mail on April 2. Mr. Brazil stated that he could not "find an indication that BLM or other agencies had requested the information that would be generated or would consider using the information." He also said that there was "no timeline for completion of the report". He was provided with a copy of the Project timeline attached to the application, along with a quote from a February 12 e-mail from John Kalish, BLM Field Manager, Palm Springs/South Coast Field Office, supporting EMARCD's efforts in this grant application.

Four comments supporting EMARCD's grant application were received. On March 5, Tressa Coco said, 'I live near some of the 56 parcels in question, and have been witnessing the destruction of BLM property. During hiking visits to some of the areas, the damage caused by off-road vehicles is appaarent. Vegetation is mowed down and ruts are carved into the hillsides. Erosion is caused by the compromise of the hillsides adn silt and sand flows down and through adjoining communities leading to the destruction of habitat."

On March 16, Nina Karavisiles commented, "I see the places I once hiked be used by vehicles that BLM can't control and feel it is unsafe to got there. Noise, dust, getting accidentally run over - not to mention what it is doing to this beautiful land. I'm hopefull your work in this well spread to San Diego as well as help your area."

Theresa Fogarty wrote on March 21, "OHV activity in and near residential properties has become an enormous problem. . . .They trespass and make it nearly impossible for others to enljoy non-motorized activities such as hiking and horseback riding."

Terillee Hammett wrote on March 29, "I am writing in FULL SUPPORT of EMARCD's application to investigate negative environmental impacts on private and public lands. OHV activity has been a nuisance in Wine Country in the areas of erosion, excessive and unrelenting noise, unhealthy levels of dust, and aggressive behavior by the OHV riders."

C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments

- a. Were changes mades to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☐ Yes ☒ No
Yes or No)
- b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

Certifications

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700603

1. Applicant Certifications

A. General Conditions

- A. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following ☒ terms and conditions:
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
 2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
 3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
 4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
 5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
 6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

B. Programmatic Conditions

B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:

Many of the 59 parcels that are owned by the Bureau of Land Management and included within the proposed project are currently being used by OHV vehicles without authorization. The project will provide BLM with information necessary to educate OHV users about their unauthorized activities, direct them to nearby facilities offering legal riding opportunities, plan funding for the construction of the recommended installation of barriers, fences, gates and additional signage, and for restoration of the damaged areas. The project will also provide information to volunteers and neighboring property owners to allow for ongoing monitoring of the closed areas.
2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

The applicant has no existing OHV Recreation Facilities under its jurisdiction. There are currently four OHV facilities within the boundaries of EMARCD. The Wildomar OHV Park (US Forest Service) and the privately owned and operated Lake Elsinore and Cahuilla Creek Motocross Parks and Rynoland (Anza) are currently operating facilities within the jurisdiction. The applicant has no connections to these existing facilities, but does not object to their continued operation. The Lake Elsinore facility recently underwent a planning change in its City of Lake Elsinore authorization, to which the District registered no opposition.

C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)

☒

Yes

☐

No

2. Governing Body Resolution

Attachments:

[EMARCD Board Resolution 10-01](#)

Certification - Non Profits / Education

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700603

- 1. Written Agreement with Land Manager**
- 2. Verification of Nonprofit 501(c)(3) Status**

Evaluation Criteria

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700603

1. OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

- a. Does the land manager agency provide legal OHV riding opportunity? (Please select ☐ Yes ☒ No
 Yes or No)

Starting (Month/Year)

Ending (Month/Year)

- b. Off-Highway Vehicle Opportunity Ratio (OHV Ratio) opportunity
- i. Months of OHV Opportunity (OHV Months)
- ii. Total Miles Of Routes Available For OHV Recreation
- iii. Total Acres Of Open Riding Available For OHV Recreation
- iv. OHV Visitation (visitor days)
- v. Ratio of OHV Visitation/OHV Opportunity 0.50

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary (2)

- c. Reference Document that support the responses to a. and b. on previous page

This is a planning application only. The applicant does not manage any land within the scope of the proposed project. Applicant's partner agency, Bureau of Land Management does manage lands with OHV opportunity, but none are within the area covered by this application.

- d. Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) = OHV Ratio x OHV Months / 12 0.50

Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score

2. Quality of OHV Opportunity

Land Manager's OHV program 8

Check all that apply (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available for distribution at no cost (2 points)
- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available on the Land Manager's website (2 points)
- ☒ Map indicates relative difficulty of each OHV trail (2 points)
- ☐ Map indicates appropriate OHV use type (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.) (2 points)
- ☒ At least fifty percent of the staging areas include support facilities (restrooms, picnic tables, trash cans, shade structures) (2 points)
- ☐ Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names, directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature (2 points)

3. Variety of OHV Opportunity

- a. Skill levels (e.g., beginner, intermediate, advanced) indicated by publicly available maps or signage marking trails with relative difficulty 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ 3 or more skill levels (5 points)

☐ 2 skill levels (3 points)

☐ 1 skill level (1 point)

☒ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

- b. Type of OHV Opportunity (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, RUV, Sand Rail/Dune Buggy) 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ Opportunities for 3 or more vehicle types (6 points) ☐ Opportunities for 2 vehicle types (3 points)
☐ Opportunity for only 1 vehicle type (1 point) ☒ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

4. Agency Contribution

Is the cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs) greater than \$0?. If NO, then No points. Go to item #5. (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If YES, enter cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs): 40000

% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds): 6

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No OHV Trust Funds were used (6 points)
☐ 10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (4 points)
☐ 11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)
☐ 26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)
☐ More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (No points)

Reference Document

Estimated proportionate burdened annual cost of one Ranger based in Applicant's territory whose responsibility includes a wide geographic area including additional lands outside of EMARCD.

5. Project Performance

For Applicant's OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all deliverables accomplished 2

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)
☐ 75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)
☐ Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished (No points)
☒ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)

6. Previous Year Performance

In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.

FOR DIVISION USE ONLY (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit (3 points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)
☐ In the previous year the Applicant has not been responsive (No points)

7. Prevention of OHV trespass

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Fence (Page 1)

- a. Is site a completely fenced facility such that OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas is prevented? 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ No (answer items b and c)

☐ Yes (10 points, explain and then skip to item 8)

Explain 'Yes' response:

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Patrol (Page 2)

- b. The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled (Check the one most appropriate) 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ At least 5 days per week (5 points)

☐ At least once per week (3 points)

☐ At least once per month (1 point)

☒ Less than once per month (No points)

Explain patrol efforts (e.g., frequency of patrol, patrol personnel, percent of lands covered by patrols)

This planning grant is intended to assist the Land Manager (BLM) in determining where patrol resources can be best utilized, and in applying for future grants to remediate areas where lack of patrol is resulting in further land damage. BLM has one ranger available to cover EMARCD area plus additional land.

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Measures (Page 3)

- c. Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas 5

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

☒ Barriers and/or signing are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas (3 points)

☒ Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass, including respect for private property (2 points)

Explain measures utilized to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas

This planning grant will help to develop future applications for barriers, signage and education programs for these purposes. Current OHV trespass is perceived to be a serious problem in some of the land parcels to be surveyed by this project.

8. OHV Education

8 OHV Education - Page 1

- a. Education materials available onsite 5

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

☒ Free literature is provided to visitors describing safe and responsible OHV recreational practices (5 points)

☐ Bulletin boards, signs or kiosks, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation (5 points)

- b. Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices: 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ 50 or more per year (3 points)

☐ 20 to 49 times per year (2 points)

☒ 5 to 19 times per year (1 point)

☐ Less than 5 times per year (No points)

8. OHV Education - Page 2

- c. When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ Daily (5 points) ☐ On all weekends (4 points)
☐ On the majority of weekends (2 points) ☐ On major holidays (1 points)
☒ None of the above (No points)

- d. ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public: 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ At least 30 times per year (5 points) ☐ 18-29 times per year (3 points)
☐ 4-17 times per year (1 points) ☒ Less than 4 times per year (No points)

Describe Land Manager's onsite education efforts relative to items a. - d.:

BLM provides education efforts throughout the region, but not specifically at the sites to be surveyed by this project. These relatively small parcels are located throughout EMARCD's 505,000 acres, and none of the parcels are currently authorized for OHV activities, so education opportunities are limited to enforcement activities only.

9. Website

- a. OHV outreach efforts are accomplished through the Land Manager's website 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (skip to question 10) ☒ Yes (provide URL address and answer item b)

Provide URL address <http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en.html>

- b. The Land Manager's website contains the following items 5

(Check all that apply) - Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 5 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map to location | <input type="checkbox"/> Hours of operation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Safety information |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Visitor facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contact information | <input type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information on responsible riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Map of Facilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Fee schedule |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Seasonal restrictions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Link to Division Website | <input type="checkbox"/> Law enforcement contact information |

10. OHV Outreach

Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes: 3

Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Billboards | <input type="checkbox"/> CDs and/or DVDs |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community meetings | <input type="checkbox"/> OHV dealers |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fairs | <input type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) [Personal contact with adjacent property owners] | <input type="checkbox"/> Television |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parades | <input type="checkbox"/> Radio |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Programs at schools | |

11. Natural and Cultural Resources

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 1

- a. Is the Land Manager's OHV area a completely fenced track facility with little or no native vegetation?
0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ No (answer item b)

☐ Yes (5 points, explain and then skip to item 12)

Explain 'Yes' response

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 2

- b. Resource Management Information System 5

Does the Land Manager maintain a management information system managed by qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity and contains at least the following:

- Ongoing survey/inventory of species
- Ongoing survey/inventory of archeological sites
- Biological monitoring that measures changes in populations
- Components that evaluate the effects of OHV recreation and related activity on the species;
- Recommendations for improvement in species management
- Strategies to respond to changing conditions that affect the survival or reproduction of species? (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Reference Document

Land Manager BLM, through their Palm Springs/South Coast office, maintains a Land Management system to monitor lands for which they are responsible, including the parcels to be considered in thi project.

12. Soil Management

12. Soil Management - Page 1

- a. Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response BLM has reporting and monitoring methodology that will be identified during this project

- b. Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response One of the goals of this planning project will be to identify specific soil issues on each of the properties to be studied.

12. Soil Management - Page 2

- c. Land Manager performs soil monitoring 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ Monthly (3 points)

☐ After major rain events (2 points)

☐ Annually (No points)

13. Sound Level Testing

The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing 0

(Check only one if applicable) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends (4 points)
- ☐ At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends (2 points)
- ☒ Less than 25% of holidays and weekends (No points)

Describe the sound testing program

The applicant is not aware of sound testing, if any, conducted by BLM on its properties to be studied by this project.